Scientific Investigations



Note: Selected projects in this category are eligible for entry into the BHP Foundation Science and Engineering Awards National Competition

What to do

- 1. Choose a topic for your investigation.
- 2. Keep an electronic or written journal or notebook that explains what you do and why. (handwritten journals maybe scanned for electronic entry submission)
- **3**. Collect the necessary background information and set some realistic aims.
- **4**. Design and perform one or more experiments that will make up the investigation.
- 5. Analyse the results and draw your conclusions.
- 6. Present a report to tell others what you did and what you found out. Include any references and acknowledge the assistance you receive.

What makes a winning entry?

- The topic of the investigation should be relevant, original and creative It should address an issue of scientific significance that may be of a social, local or personal nature.
- The approach should be original, creative and resourceful.
- The use of and/or design of equipment should be original and creative.
- The report should include:
 - Realistic aims
 - o Details of the materials used and the procedure
 - o Risk assessment
 - o Determination of variables to control
 - Evidence of replication of results, accuracy and thoroughness
 - o Results, observations, measurements, graphics and text
 - o Discussion of the results referring to the aims
 - o Explanation of errors and anomalies
 - Reasonable conclusion from the data
 - o Suggestions for further research
 - Appropriately acknowledge any assistance. Clarify which aspects of the project were devised and carried out alone and which aspects were not and what sort of assistance was provided.

The Journal, logbook or notebook

This shows the purpose behind the study, and the way in which the question evolved and was tackled, as well as a record of how the work progressed (including the disasters).

- Good notes show consistency and thoroughness to the judges.
- A reflective journal could be kept. It should contain evidence of scientific thought.

Some ideas to get you started

- Does the temperature of a magnet affect its strength?
- How does the type of soil affect the growth of a bean plant?
- Which type of paper towel has the highest level of absorption/capillary action?
- Which material is the best for insulating a can of drink?
- How does sugar affect the growth of yeast?
- What is the best metal conductor?
- How fast does light travel in different substances?
- Which tea contains the least amount of caffeine?



Judging Criteria

H

2

ŝ

ŝ

STACE Science Teachers Association of Queensland

0 Not Evident to learning level Evident and appropriate expectations of student's earning level Exceed TOTAL Communicates the investigation and findings appropriately using scientific language and Has provided detailed evidence of work (such as draft, workings and/or notes) ensuring Acknowledges resources used (including reference materials and assistance from other the investigation is a true representation of the student's learning and understanding. Summarising data using graphs, tables and other representations, appropriate use of Writes a conclusion that discusses the key findings of the investigation Was my initial Description of how to manage the work safely, collection of reliable data and other Demonstrates and original and creative approach to solving the problem showing Provides an appropriate aim. Predicts results and/or describes a hypotheses to be Explains how and why they chose the topic and approach to the investigation Suggests effective improvements to methods and quality of data collection Identifies and describes how variables are controlled where necessary Draws on relevant evidence and relationships to support conclusions Presents the investigation in a legible, logical and appealing manner. Identification of errors and reference to plausible causes of errors Description of how project fits into a wider scientific context mathematics, description of trends and relationships Planning of fair investigation aim/ hypotheses achieved? ingenuity/originality representations evidence SCIENTIFIC INVESTIGATIONS people). tested. Acknowledgements Interprets Results Understanding Choice of Topic Investigation Findings and Conclusions Plan of the Legibility Evidence Creative Validity Investigative Evidence of ownership Science Process Focus